Math 1600A Lecture 21, Section 2, 28 Oct 2013

Announcements:

Read Markov chains part of Section 3.7 for Wednesday. Work through recommended homework questions (and check for updates).

Midterm 2: next Thursday evening, 7-8:30 pm. Send me an e-mail message **today** if you have a **conflict** (even if you told me before midterm 1). Midterm 2 covers from Section 2.3 until the end of Chapter 3, but builds on the earlier material as well. A **practice exam** is available from the course home page. Last name A-Q must write in **NS1**, R-Z in **NS7**. See the missed exam section of the course web page for policies, including for illness.

Tutorials: No tutorials this week! Review in tutorials next week.

Office hour: today, 1:30-2:30, MC103B. **Help Centers:** Monday-Friday 2:30-6:30 in MC 106. (But probably not Thursday or Friday this week.)

On Friday, we finished Section 3.5. That was a key section, so please study it carefully.

New material: Section 3.6: Linear Transformations

Given an $m \times n$ matrix A, we can use A to transform a column vector in \mathbb{R}^n into a column vector in \mathbb{R}^m . We write:

$$T_A(\,ec x) = A\,ec x \quad ext{for} \,\, ec x ext{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

Example: If

$$A=egin{bmatrix} 0&1\2&3\4&5 \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$T_A\left(\left[egin{array}{c} -1\ 2\end{array}
ight)
ight)=A\left[egin{array}{c} -1\ 2\end{array}
ight]=\left[egin{array}{c} 0&1\ 2&3\ 4&5\end{array}
ight]\left[egin{array}{c} -1\ 2\end{array}
ight]=-1\left[egin{array}{c} 0\ 2\ 4\end{array}
ight]+2\left[egin{array}{c} 1\ 3\ 5\end{array}
ight]=\left[egin{array}{c} 2\ 4\ 6\end{array}
ight]$$

In general (omitting parentheses),

$$T_Aigg[egin{array}{c} x \ y \ \end{bmatrix} = Aigg[egin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \ 2 & 3 \ 4 & 5 \ \end{bmatrix}igg[egin{array}{c} x \ y \ \end{bmatrix} = xigg[egin{array}{c} 0 \ 2 \ 4 \ \end{bmatrix} + yigg[egin{array}{c} 1 \ 3 \ 5 \ \end{bmatrix} = igg[egin{array}{c} y \ 2x+3y \ 4x+5y \ \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the matrix A is visible in the last expression.

Any rule T that assigns to each \vec{x} in \mathbb{R}^n a unique vector $T(\vec{x})$ in \mathbb{R}^m is called a **transformation** from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m and is written $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

For our A above, we have $T_A: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$. T_A is in fact a *linear* transformation.

Definition: A transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is called a **linear transformation** if: 1. $T(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = T(\vec{u}) + T(\vec{v})$ for all \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^n , and 2. $T(c\vec{u}) = cT(\vec{u})$ for all \vec{u} in \mathbb{R}^n and all scalars c.

You can check directly that our T_A is linear. For example,

$$T_Aig(cigg[egin{array}{c}x\\yigg]ig)=T_Aigg[egin{array}{c}cx\\cyigg]=igg[egin{array}{c}cy\\2cx+3cy\\4cx+5cyigg]=cigg[egin{array}{c}y\\2x+3y\\4x+5yigg]=c\,T_Aigg(igg[egin{array}{c}x\\yigg]igg) \end{cases}$$

Check condition (1) yourself, or see Example 3.55.

In fact, every T_A is linear:

Theorem: Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear transformation.

Proof: Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$T_A(\,ec{u}+\,ec{v}) = A(\,ec{u}+\,ec{v}) = A\,ec{u} + A\,ec{v} = T_A(\,ec{u}) + T_A(\,ec{v})$$

and

 $T_A(c\,ec{u}) = A(c\,ec{u}) = c\,A\,ec{u} = c\,T_A(\,ec{u}) \qquad \Box$

Example 3.56: Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the transformation that sends each point to its reflection in the *x*-axis. Show that *F* is linear. On whiteboard.

Example: Let $N: \mathbb{R}^2 o \mathbb{R}^2$ be the transformation

$$Niggl[egin{array}{c} x \ y \end{bmatrix} := iggl[egin{array}{c} xy \ x+y \end{bmatrix}$$

Is N linear? On whiteboard.

It turns out that every linear transformation is a matrix transformation.

Theorem 3.31: Let $T: \mathbb{R}^n o \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation. Then $T = T_A$, where

$$A = \left[\left. T(\left. \vec{e}_1 \right) \right. \right| \left. T(\left. \vec{e}_2 \right) \right. \right| \cdots \left. \left. \left. T(\left. \vec{e}_n \right) \right] \right]$$

Proof: We just check:

$$egin{aligned} T(ec x) &= T(x_1 \,ec e_1 + \dots + x_n \,ec e_n) \ &= x_1 T(ec e_1) + \dots + x_n T(ec e_n) & ext{ since } T ext{ is linear} \ &= \left[\left. T(ec e_1) \mid T(ec e_2) \mid \dots \mid T(ec e_n)
ight] \left[egin{aligned} x_1 \ ec v_n \ ec v_n \end{array}
ight] \ &= A \,ec x = T_A(ec x) \end{aligned}$$

The matrix A is called the **standard matrix** of T.

Example 3.58: Let $R_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be rotation by an angle θ counterclockwise about the origin. Show that R_{θ} is linear and find its standard matrix.

Solution: A geometric argument shows that R_{θ} is linear. On whiteboard.

To find the standard matrix, we note that

$$R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta\\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \theta\\ \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the standard matrix of R_{θ} is $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta\\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$.

Now that we know the matrix, we can compute rotations of arbitrary vectors. For example, to rotate the point (2,-1) by 60° :

$$egin{aligned} R_{60} egin{bmatrix} 2 \ -1 \end{bmatrix} &= egin{bmatrix} \cos 60^\circ & -\sin 60^\circ \ \sin 60^\circ & \cos 60^\circ \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 2 \ -1 \end{bmatrix} \ &= egin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \ \sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 2 \ -1 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} (2+\sqrt{3})/2 \ (2\sqrt{3}-1)/2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Rotations will be one of our main examples.

New linear transformations from old

If $T : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$, then $S(T(\vec{x}))$ makes sense for \vec{x} in \mathbb{R}^m . The **composition** of S and T is the transformation $S \circ T : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$ defined by

$$(S \circ T)(\vec{x}) = S(T(\vec{x})).$$

If S and T are linear, it is easy to check that this new transformation $S\circ T$ is automatically linear. For example,

$$egin{aligned} (S \circ T)(\,ec{u} + \,ec{v}) &= S(T(\,ec{u} + \,ec{v})) = S(T(\,ec{u}) + T(\,ec{v})) \ &= S(T(\,ec{u})) + S(T(\,ec{v})) = (S \circ T)(\,ec{u}) + (S \circ T)(\,ec{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Any guesses for how the the matrix for $S \circ T$ is related to the matrices for S and T?

Theorem 3.32: $[S \circ T] = [S][T]$, where [] is used to denote the matrix of a linear transformation.

Proof: Let A = [S] and B = [T]. Then

$$(S \circ T)(\,ec{x}) = S(T(\,ec{x})) = S(B\,ec{x}) = A(B\,ec{x}) = (AB)\,ec{x}$$

 $\text{so} \ [S \circ T] = AB. \qquad \Box$

It's because of this that matrix multiplication is defined how it is. Notice also that the condition on the sizes of matrices in a product matches the requirement that S and T be composable.

Example 3.61: Find the standard matrix of the transformation that rotates 90° counterclockwise and then reflects in the *x*-axis. How do $F \circ R$ and $R \circ F$ compare? On whiteboard.

Example: It is geometrically clear that $R_{\theta} \circ R_{\phi} = R_{\theta+\phi}$. This implies some trigonometric identities. On whiteboard.