## Math 1600A Lecture 35, Section 2, 2 Dec 2013

### **Announcements:**

Today we finish 5.3 and start 5.4. **Read** Section 5.4 for Wednesday. Work through recommended homework questions.

Tutorials: This week: review.

Office hour: Monday, 1:30-2:30, MC103B.

**Help Centers:** Monday-Friday 2:30-6:30 in MC 106. **Review Session:** Friday in class; bring questions.

Final exam: Covers whole course, with an emphasis on the material in Chapters 4 and 5

(after the midterm). Our course will end with Section 5.4.

# Review of Section 5.2: Orthogonal Complements and Orthogonal Projections

## **Orthogonal Complements**

**Definition:** Let W be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A vector  $\vec{v}$  is **orthogonal** to W if  $\vec{v}$  is orthogonal to every vector in W. The **orthogonal complement** of W is the set of all vectors orthogonal to W and is denoted  $W^{\perp}$ . So

$$W^{\perp} = \{\, ec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \, ec{v} \cdot ec{w} = 0 ext{ for all } ec{w} ext{ in } W \}$$

# **Orthogonal projection**

**Definition:** Let W be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\{\vec{u}_1,\ldots,\vec{u}_k\}$  be an orthogonal basis for W. For  $\vec{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the **orthogonal projection** of  $\vec{v}$  onto W is the vector

$$\operatorname{proj}_W(\vec{v}) = \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{u}_1}(\vec{v}) + \cdots + \operatorname{proj}_{\vec{u}_k}(\vec{v})$$

The **component of**  $ec{v}$  **orthogonal to** W is the vector

$$\operatorname{perp}_W(\vec{v}) = \vec{v} - \operatorname{proj}_W(\vec{v})$$

We showed that  $\operatorname{proj}_W(\,ec v)$  is in W and  $\operatorname{perp}_W(\,ec v)$  is in  $W^\perp$  .

Here and in the rest of Section 5.2, we assume that every subspace has at least one orthogonal basis.

**Theorem 5.11:** Let W be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $ec{v}$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there are

**unique** vectors  $ec{w}$  in W and  $ec{w}^\perp$  in  $W^\perp$  such that  $ec{v}=ec{w}+ec{w}^\perp$ .

**Theorem 5.13:** If W is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\dim W + \dim W^{\perp} = n$$

The Rank Theorem follows if we take  $W=\mathrm{row}(A)$ , since then  $W^\perp=\mathrm{null}(A)$ .

## Section 5.3: The Gram-Schmidt Process and the QR Factorization

#### The Gram-Schmidt Process

This is a fancy name for a way of converting a basis into an orthogonal or orthonormal basis. And it's pretty clear how to do it, given what we know.

**Theorem 5.15 (The Gram-Schmidt Process):** Let  $\{\vec{x}_1,\ldots,\vec{x}_k\}$  be a basis for a subspace W of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Write  $W_1=\mathrm{span}(\vec{x}_1)$ ,  $W_2=\mathrm{span}(\vec{x}_1,\vec{x}_2)$ , ...,  $W_k=\mathrm{span}(\vec{x}_1,\ldots,\vec{x}_k)$ . Define:

$$egin{aligned} ec{v}_1 &= ec{x}_1 \ ec{v}_2 &= \operatorname{perp}_{W_1}(ec{x}_2) = ec{x}_2 - rac{ec{v}_1 \cdot ec{x}_2}{ec{v}_1 \cdot ec{v}_1} \ ec{v}_1 \ ec{v}_3 &= \operatorname{perp}_{W_2}(ec{x}_3) = ec{x}_3 - rac{ec{v}_1 \cdot ec{x}_3}{ec{v}_1 \cdot ec{v}_1} \ ec{v}_1 - rac{ec{v}_2 \cdot ec{x}_3}{ec{v}_2 \cdot ec{v}_2} \ ec{v}_2 \ dots \ \ dots \ dots \ \ dot$$

Then for each i,  $\{\vec{v}_1,\ldots,\vec{v}_i\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W_i$ . In particular,  $\{\vec{v}_1,\ldots,\vec{v}_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W=W_k$ .

#### **New material**

**Notes:** To compute  $\mathrm{perp}_{W_i}$  you have to use the *orthogonal* basis of  $\vec{v}_j$ 's that you have constructed already, not the original basis of  $\vec{x}_j$ 's.

The basis you get depends on the order of the vectors you start with. You should always do a question using the vectors in the order given, since that order will be chosen to minimize the arithmetic.

If you are asked to find an orthonormal basis, normalize each  $\vec{v}_j$  at the end. (It is correct to normalize earlier, but can be messier.)

**Example 5.13:** Apply Gram-Schmidt to construct an orthogonal basis for the subspace  $W=\mathrm{span}(\,ec x_1,\,ec x_2,\,ec x_3)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  where

$$ec{x}_1 = egin{bmatrix} 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad ec{x}_2 = egin{bmatrix} 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad ec{x}_3 = egin{bmatrix} 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

On whiteboard, scaling intermediate results. We get

$$ec{v}_1 = egin{bmatrix} 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad ec{v}_2' = egin{bmatrix} 3 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad ec{v}_3' = egin{bmatrix} -1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

If we want an orthonormal basis, we scale these:

$$ec{q}_1 = rac{1}{\|ec{v}_1\|}ec{v}_1 = rac{1}{2}egin{bmatrix} 1 \ -1 \ -1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \ ec{q}_2 = rac{1}{\|ec{v}_2'\|}ec{v}_2' = rac{1}{\sqrt{20}}egin{bmatrix} 3 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \ ec{q}_3 = rac{1}{\|ec{v}_3'\|}ec{v}_3' = rac{1}{\sqrt{6}}egin{bmatrix} -1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Note:** You don't need to check that the starting vectors are linearly independent. If they are dependent, then one or more of the  $\vec{v}_j$ 's will be  $\vec{0}$ , and you can just ignore it.

**Example:** Is 
$$ec{w} = egin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 in  $W$ ?

**Solution:** We can compute that  $\mathrm{proj}_W(\ \vec{w})=2\ \vec{v}_1+\ \vec{v}_2'-\ \vec{v}_3'=\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\-2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$  , so the answer is no.

**Example:** Compute the projection of  $ec{w}=egin{bmatrix} 8 \\ -2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  onto  $\mathrm{span}(\,ec{x}_1,\,ec{x}_2).$ 

**Solution:** We use that  ${\rm span}(\,\vec x_1,\,\vec x_2)={\rm span}(\,\vec v_1,\,\vec v_2').$  So, by the work done for the previous example, we get  $2\,\vec v_1+\,\vec v_2'.$  (Do *not* try to work directly with  $\,\vec x_1$  and  $\,\vec x_2.$ )

**Example 5.14:** Find an orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that contains the vector  $ec{v}_1 = egin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$  .

**Solution:** Choose any two vectors  $\vec{x}_2$  and  $\vec{x}_3$  so that  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For example, you can take

$$ec{x}_2 = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 1 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ext{and} \quad ec{x}_3 = egin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then apply Gram-Schmidt, using the vectors in that order, so  $\vec{v}_1$  doesn't change. (Details in text.)

#### **QR Factorization**

**Theorem 5.16:** Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix with **linearly independent columns**. Then A can be factored as A = QR, where Q is an  $m \times n$  matrix with orthonormal columns and R is an invertible upper triangular  $n \times n$  matrix.

Note that we must have  $m \geq n$ . (Why?)

**Explanation:** Write  $\vec{a}_1,\ldots,\vec{a}_n$  for the linearly independent columns of A. Apply Gram-Schmidt to produce orthonormal vectors  $\vec{q}_1,\ldots,\vec{q}_n$  with  $\mathrm{span}(\vec{a}_1,\ldots,\vec{a}_i)=\mathrm{span}(\vec{q}_1,\ldots,\vec{q}_i)$  for each i. Therefore we can find scalars  $r_{ij}$  such that:

$$egin{aligned} ec{a}_1 &= r_{11} \, ec{q}_1 \ ec{a}_2 &= r_{12} \, ec{q}_1 + r_{22} \, ec{q}_2 \ &dots \ ec{a}_n &= r_{1n} \, ec{q}_1 + r_{2n} \, ec{q}_2 + \cdots + r_{nn} \, ec{q}_n \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$A = [\; ec{a}_1 \cdots ec{a}_n \,] = [\; ec{q}_1 \cdots ec{q}_n \,] \left[ egin{array}{cccc} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \ 0 & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{nn} \end{array} 
ight] = QR$$

One can also see that the diagonal entries  $r_{ii}$  are non-zero. (Explain.) Therefore,  $\det R \neq 0$  and R is invertible.

Note that  $r_{ij} = ec{q}_i \cdot ec{a}_j$ .

**Example 5.15:** Find a QR factorization of 
$$A=\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 .

**Solution:** The columns of  $\boldsymbol{A}$  are the vectors from Example 5.13, so we get the matrix

$$Q = egin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 3/\sqrt{20} & -1/\sqrt{6} \ -1/2 & 3/\sqrt{20} & 0 \ -1/2 & 1/\sqrt{20} & 1/\sqrt{6} \ 1/2 & 1/\sqrt{20} & 2/\sqrt{6} \ \end{pmatrix}$$

We want to find R such that A=QR. Since the columns of Q are orthonormal, we have  $Q^TQ=I$ . So  $Q^TA=Q^TQR=R$  and one can compute R by matrix multiplication to find

$$R = Q^T A = \cdots = egin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1/2 \ 0 & \sqrt{5} & 3\sqrt{5}/2 \ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{6}/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(See text for details.) Note that you can save some work, since you know that the entries below the diagonal must be zero.

Also note that this matrix multiplication is exactly working out the components of  $\vec{a}_i$  with respect to the orthonormal basis of  $\vec{q}_j$ 's, using that  $r_{ij}=\vec{q}_i\cdot\vec{a}_j$ .

# Section 5.4: Orthogonal Diagonalization of Symmetric Matrices

In Section 4.4 we learned all about diagonalizing a square matrix A. One of the difficulties that arose is that a matrix with real entries can have complex eigenvalues. In this section, we focus on the case where A is a symmetric matrix, and we will show that the eigenvalues of A are always real and that A is alway diagonalizable!

Recall that a square matrix A is **symmetric** if  $A^T = A$ .

Examples: 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Non-examples: 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Definition:** A square matrix A is **orthogonally diagonalizable** if there exists an orthogonal matrix Q such that  $Q^TAQ$  is a diagonal matrix D.