## Math 1600 Lecture 14, Section 2, 6 Oct 2014

#### **Announcements:**

Continue **reading** Section 3.1 (partitioned matrices) and Section 3.2 for next class. Work through recommended homework questions.

**Quiz 4** is this week, and will focus on the material in Section 2.4 (networks) and the parts of 3.1 and 3.2 we finish today.

Office hour: Today, 3:00-3:30, MC103B.

Help Centers: Monday-Friday 2:30-6:30 in MC 106.

### Partial review of Lecture 13:

### **Section 3.1: Matrix Operations**

**Definition:** An  $m \times n$  matrix A is a rectangular array of numbers called the **entries**, with m rows and n columns. A is called **square** if m=n.

The entry in the ith row and jth column of A is usually written  $a_{ij}$  or sometimes  $A_{ij}$ .

The diagonal entries are  $a_{11}, a_{22}, \ldots$ 

If A is square and the <u>non</u>diagonal entries are all zero, then A is called a **diagonal matrix**.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3/2 & \pi \\ \sqrt{2} & 2.3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

not square or diagonal square diagonal diagonal

**Definition:** A diagonal matrix with all diagonal entries equal is called a **scalar matrix**. A scalar matrix with diagonal entries all equal to 1 is an

#### identity matrix.

All of these are scalar matrices:

$$I_3 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad egin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 3 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad O = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 identity matrix scalar zero matrix

**Note:** Identity  $\Longrightarrow$  scalar  $\Longrightarrow$  diagonal  $\Longrightarrow$  square.

#### Matrix addition and scalar multiplication

Our first two operations are just like for vectors:

**Definition:** If A and B are <u>both</u>  $m \times n$  matrices, then their **sum** A+B is the  $m \times n$  matrix obtained by adding the corresponding entries of A and B:  $A+B=[a_{ij}+b_{ij}]$ .

**Definition:** If A is an  $m \times n$  matrix and c is a scalar, then the **scalar** multiple cA is the  $m \times n$  matrix obtained by multiplying each entry by c:  $cA = [c \, a_{ij}].$ 

## **New material: Section 3.2: Matrix Algebra**

Addition and scalar multiplication for matrices behave **exactly** like addition and scalar multiplication for vectors, with the entries just written in a rectangle instead of in a row or column.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let A, B and C be matrices of the same size, and let c and d be scalars. Then:

(a) 
$$A+B=B+A$$
 (comm.) (b)  $(A+B)+C=A+(B+C)$  (assoc.) (c)  $A+O=A$  (d)  $A+(-A)=O$  (e)  $c(A+B)=cA+cB$  (dist.) (f)  $(c+d)A=cA+dA$  (dist.) (g)  $c(dA)=(cd)A$  (h)  $1A=A$ 

Compare to Theorem 1.1.

This means that all of the concepts for vectors transfer to matrices.

E.g., manipulating matrix equations:

$$2(A+B)-3(2B-A)=2A+2B-6B+3A=5A-4B.$$

We define a **linear combination** to be a matrix of the form:

$$c_1A_1+c_2A_2+\cdots+c_kA_k.$$

And we can define the **span** of a set of matrices to be the set of all their linear combinations.

And we can say that the matrices  $A_1,A_2,\ldots,A_k$  are **linearly** independent if

$$c_1 A_1 + c_2 A_2 + \cdots + c_k A_k = O$$

has only the trivial solution  $c_1=\cdots=c_k=0$ , and are **linearly dependent** otherwise.

Our techniques for vectors also apply to answer questions such as:

#### Example 3.16 (a): Suppose

$$A_1=\left[egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ -1 & 0 \end{array}
ight],\ A_2=\left[egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight],\ A_3=\left[egin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{array}
ight],\ B=\left[egin{array}{cc} 1 & 4 \ 2 & 1 \end{array}
ight]$$

Is B a linear combination of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ ?

That is, are there scalars  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  such that

$$c_1 \left[egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ -1 & 0 \end{array}
ight] + c_2 \left[egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight] + c_3 \left[egin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 \end{array}
ight] = \left[egin{array}{cc} 1 & 4 \ 2 & 1 \end{array}
ight]?$$

Rewriting the left-hand side gives

$$egin{bmatrix} c_2+c_3 & c_1+c_3 \ -c_1+c_3 & c_2+c_3 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and this is equivalent to the system

$$egin{array}{ccc} c_2+c_3=1 \ c_1 & +c_3=4 \ -c_1 & +c_3=2 \ c_2+c_3=1 \end{array}$$

and we can use row reduction to determine that there is a solution, and to find it if desired:  $c_1=1, c_2=-2, c_3=3$  , so  $A_1-2A_2+3A_3=B$  .

This works exactly as if we had written the matrices as column vectors and asked the same question.

See also Examples 3.16(b), 3.17 and 3.18 in text.

#### More review of Lecture 13:

#### **Matrix multiplication**

**Definition:** If A is  $m imes {\color{red}n}$  and B is  ${\color{red}n} imes r$ , then the **product** C = AB is the m imes r matrix whose i,j entry is

$$c_{ij} = a_{i\mathbf{1}}b_{\mathbf{1}j} + a_{i\mathbf{2}}b_{\mathbf{2}j} + \cdots + a_{i\mathbf{n}}b_{\mathbf{n}j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}b_{kj}.$$

This is the dot product of the ith row of A with the jth column of B.

$$egin{array}{cccc} A & B & = & AB \ m imes n & n imes r & m imes r \end{array}$$

#### **Powers**

In general,  $A^2=AA$  doesn't make sense. But if A is  $n\times n$  (square), then it makes sense to define the **power** 

$$A^k = AA \cdots A$$
 with k factors.

We write  $A^1=A$  and  $A^0=I_n$  .

We will see in a moment that (AB)C=A(BC), so the expression for  $A^k$  is unambiguous. And it follows that

$$A^rA^s=A^{r+s} \qquad ext{and} \qquad (A^r)^s=A^{rs}$$

for all nonnegative integers r and s.

# New material: Section 3.2: Matrix Algebra (continued)

#### **Properties of Matrix Multiplication and Powers**

This is new ground, as you can't multiply vectors.

For the most part, matrix multiplication behaves like multiplication of real numbers, but there are several differences:

#### **Example 3.13 on board:** Powers of

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Question:** Is there a nonzero matrix A such that  $A^2=O$ ?

Yes. For example, take

$$A = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad ext{or} \qquad A = egin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Challenge problems:** (1) Find a  $3 \times 3$  matrix A such that  $A^2 \neq O$  but  $A^3 = O$ .

(2) Find a 2 imes 2 matrix A such that  $A 
eq I_2$  but  $A^3 = I_2$ .

I'll come back to these next class.

**Example on board:** Tell me the entries of two  $2 \times 2$  matrices A and B, and let's compute AB and BA.

#### So we've seen:

We can have  $A \neq O$  but  $A^k = O$  for some k>1. We can have  $B \neq \pm I$ , but  $B^4 = I$ . We can have  $AB \neq BA$ .

These are good material for true/false questions...

But most expected properties do hold:

**Theorem 3.3:** Let A, B and C be matrices of the appropriate sizes, and let k be a scalar. Then:

(a) 
$$A(BC)=(AB)C$$
 (associativity)  
(b)  $A(B+C)=AB+AC$  (left distributivity)  
(c)  $(A+B)C=AC+BC$  (right distributivity)  
(d)  $k(AB)=(kA)B=A(kB)$  (no cool name)  
(e)  $I_mA=A=AI_n$  if  $A$  is  $m\times n$  (identity)

The text proves (b) and half of (e). (c) and the other half of (e) are the same, with right and left reversed.

#### Proof of (d):

$$(k(AB))_{ij} = k(AB)_{ij} = k(\operatorname{row}_i(A) \cdot \operatorname{col}_j(B))$$
  
=  $(k \operatorname{row}_i(A)) \cdot \operatorname{col}_j(B) = \operatorname{row}_i(kA) \cdot \operatorname{col}_j(B)$   
=  $((kA)B)_{ij}$ 

so k(AB)=(kA)B. The other part of (d) is similar.  $\ \Box$ 

**Proof of (a):** (Using  $A_{ij}$  notation for matrix entries.)

$$egin{align} ((AB)C)_{ij} &= \sum_{k} (AB)_{ik} C_{kj} = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} A_{il} B_{lk} C_{kj} \ &= \sum_{l} \sum_{k} A_{il} B_{lk} C_{kj} = \sum_{l} A_{il} (BC)_{lj} = (A(BC))_{ij} \end{split}$$

so 
$$A(BC) = (AB)C$$
.

Example on board: AI = A.

Example on board: Solve

$$2(X - A) + (A + B)(B + I) = 0$$

for X in terms of A and B.

**Example 3.20:** If A and B are square matrices of the same size, is  $(A+B)^2=A^2+2AB+B^2$ ?

**Solution:** Using Theorem 3.3, we find:

$$(A + B)^2 = (A + B)(A + B)$$
  
=  $(A + B)A + (A + B)B$   
=  $A^2 + BA + AB + B^2$ .

Suppose  $A^2+BA+AB+B^2=A^2+2AB+B^2$  . Subtracting  $A^2+AB+B^2$  from both sides gives BA=AB. So the answer is "No, unless A and B commute."

**Note:** Theorem 3.3 shows that a scalar matrix  $kI_n$  commutes with every n imes n matrix A. So

$$(A + kI_n)^2 = A^2 + 2A(kI_n) + (kI_n)^2 = ?$$

 $(I_n ext{ is like the number } 1.)$ 

**True/false:** If A is 2 imes 3 and B is 3 imes 2, then we always have AB 
eq BA.

**True.** AB is  $2 \times 2$  and BA is  $3 \times 3$ , so they can't be equal.

**True/false:** Every  $1 \times 1$  matrix is a scalar matrix.

**True.** The only entry in a  $1\times 1$  matrix is on the diagonal, and "all" of the diagonal entries are equal.

It follows that all  $1 \times 1$  matrices commute with each other.

**Note:** The non-commutativity of matrices is directly related to **quantum mechanics**. Observables in quantum mechanics are described by matrices, and if the matrices don't commute, then you can't know both quantities at the same time!

**Next class:** more from Sections 3.1 and 3.2: Transpose, symmetric matrices, partitioned matrices.