Math 1600 Lecture 27, Section 2, 10 Nov 2014

Announcements:

Today we continue with 4.3. **Read** 4.3 and Appendix C for next class. Work through recommended homework questions.

Tutorials: Quiz 7 covers 4.2, the parts of Appendix D that we covered, and the part of 4.3 we finish today. No complex eigenvalues/roots.

Office hour: Monday, 3:00-3:30, MC103B.

Help Centers: Monday-Friday 2:30-6:30 in MC 106.

Question: If P is invertible, how do det A and det $(P^{-1}AP)$ compare?

They are equal:

$$\det(P^{-1}AP) = \det(P^{-1})\det(A)\det(P) \ = rac{1}{\det(P)}\det(A)\det(P) = \det A.$$

Partial review of last class: Section 4.3

Definition: If A is $n \times n$, $det(A - \lambda I)$ will be a degree n polynomial in λ . It is called the **characteristic polynomial** of A, and $det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ is called the **characteristic equation**.

By the fundamental theorem of invertible matrices, the solutions to the characteristic equation are exactly the eigenvalues.

Finding eigenvalues and eigenspaces: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

1. Compute the characteristic polynomial $\det(A-\lambda I)$.

2. Find the eigenvalues of A by solving the characteristic equation $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0.$

3. For each eigenvalue λ , find a basis for the eigenspace

 $E_\lambda = \mathrm{null}(A - \lambda I)$ by solving the system $(A - \lambda I)ec{x} = ec{0}.$

So we need to get good at solving polynomial equations. Solutions are called **zeros** or **roots**.

Theorem D.4 (The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra): A polynomial of degree n has at most n distinct roots.

Therefore:

Theorem: An n imes n matrix A has at most n distinct eigenvalues.

Also:

Theorem D.2 (The Factor Theorem): Let f be a polynomial and let a be a constant. Then a is a zero of f(x) (i.e. f(a) = 0) if and only if x - a is a factor of f(x) (i.e. f(x) = (x - a)g(x) for some polynomial g).

New material: 4.3 continued

A root a of a polynomial f implies that f(x) = (x - a)g(x). Sometimes, a is also a root of g(x), as we found above. Then $f(x) = (x - a)^2 h(x)$. The largest k such that $(x - a)^k$ is a factor of f is called the **multiplicity** of the root a in f.

In the case of an eigenvalue, we call its multiplicity in the characteristic polynomial the **algebraic multiplicity** of this eigenvalue.

We also define the **geometric multiplicity** of an eigenvalue λ to be the dimension of the corresponding eigenspace.

Example 4.19: Find the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of

$$A=egin{bmatrix} -1&0&1\3&0&-3\1&0&-1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 . Do partially, on board.

In this case, we find that $\lambda=0$ has algebraic multiplicity 2 and geometric multiplicity 2.

These multiplicities will be important in Section 4.4.

Theorem 4.15: The eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are the entries on its main diagonal (repeated according to their algebraic multiplicity).

Example: If
$$A = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 2 & 3 & 0 \ 4 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, then $\det(A - \lambda I) = egin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \ 2 & 3 - \lambda & 0 \ 4 & 5 & 1 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (1 - \lambda)^2 (3 - \lambda),$

so the eigenvalues are $\lambda=1$ (with algebraic multiplicity 2) and $\lambda=3$ (with algebraic multiplicity 1).

Question: What are the eigenvalues of a diagonal matrix?

The eigenvalues are the diagonal entries.

Question: What are the eigenvalues of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$?

The characteristic polynomial is

$$egin{array}{c|c} -\lambda & 4\ 1 & -\lambda \end{array} = \lambda^2 - 4 = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 2),$$

so the eigenvalues are 2 and -2. Trick question.

Question: How can we tell whether a matrix A is invertible using eigenvalues?

A is invertible if and only if 0 is not an eigenvalue, because 0 being an eigenvalue is equivalent to $\operatorname{null}(A)$ being non-trivial, which is equivalent to A not being invertible, by the fundamental theorem.

So we can extend the fundamental theorem with two new entries:

Theorem 4.17: Let A be an n imes n matrix. The following are equivalent:

a. A is invertible.

- b. $Aec{x}=ec{b}$ has a unique solution for every $ec{b}\in \mathbb{R}^n.$
- c. $Aec{x}=ec{0}$ has only the trivial (zero) solution.
- d. The reduced row echelon form of A is $I_n.$

f. $\operatorname{rank}(A) = n$

- g. $\operatorname{nullity}(A) = 0$
- h. The columns of A are linearly independent.
- i. The columns of A span $\mathbb{R}^n.$
- j. The columns of A are a basis for $\mathbb{R}^n.$
- k. The rows of A are linearly independent.
- I. The rows of A span \mathbb{R}^n .
- m. The rows of A are a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .
- n. det $A \neq 0$
- o. 0 is not an eigenvalue of A

Eigenvalues of powers and inverses

Suppose \vec{x} is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ . What can we say about A^2 or A^3 ? If A is invertible, how about the eigenvalues/vectors of A^{-1} ? On board.

We've shown:

Theorem 4.18: If \vec{x} is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ , then \vec{x} is an eigenvector of A^k with eigenvalue λ^k . This holds for each integer $k \ge 0$, and also for k < 0 if A is invertible.

In contrast to some other recent results, this one is very useful computationally:

Example 4.21: Compute
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{10} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Solution: By finding the eigenspaces of the matrix, we can show that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
Write $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Since $\vec{x} = 3\vec{v}_1 + 2\vec{v}_2$ we have
$$A^{10}\vec{x} = A^{10}(3\vec{v}_1 + 2\vec{v}_2) = 3A^{10}\vec{v}_1 + 2A^{10}\vec{v}_2$$

$$= 3(-1)^{10}\vec{v}_1 + 2(2^{10})\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 + 2^{11} \\ -3 + 2^{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

Much faster than repeated matrix multiplication, especially if 10 is replaced with 100.

This raises an interesting question. In the example, the eigenvectors were a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 , so we could use this method to compute $A^k \vec{x}$ for any \vec{x} . However, last class we saw a 3×3 matrix with two one-dimensional eigenspaces, so the eigenvectors didn't span \mathbb{R}^3 . We will study this further in Section 4.4, but right now we can answer a related question about linear independence.

Theorem: If $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_m$ are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m$, then $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_m$ are linearly independent.

Proof in case m=2: If $ec v_1$ and $ec v_2$ are linearly dependent, then $ec v_1=cec v_2$ for some c. Therefore

$$Aec v_1 = A\, cec v_2 = cAec v_2$$

S0

$$\lambda_1ec v_1=c\lambda_2ec v_2=\lambda_2ec v_1$$

Since $ec{v}_1
eq ec{0}$, this forces $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, a contradiction. \Box

The general case is very similar; see text.

Next: how to become a **Billionaire** using the material from this course.