Math 1600 Lecture 32, Section 2, 21 Nov 2014

Announcements:

Today we finish 4.6 and start Section 5.1. Continue reading **Section 5.1** for next class, and start reading **Section 5.2**. Work through recommended homework questions.

Help Centers: Monday-Friday 2:30-6:30 in MC 106.

Review: Section 4.6: Markov chains:

Theorem 4.30: Every stochastic matrix has a steady state vector, i.e. it has $\lambda = 1$ as an eigenvalue.

Theorem 4.31: Let P be an n imes n stochastic matrix. Then every eigenvalue λ has $|\lambda|\leqslant 1$.

If in addition the entries of P are all positive, then all eigenvalues besides $\lambda=1$ have $|\lambda|<1.$

Theorem 4.33: Let P be an $n \times n$ stochastic matrix all of whose entries are positive. Then as $k \to \infty$, $P^k \to L$, a matrix all of whose columns are equal to the same vector \vec{x} which is a steady state probability vector for P.

New material:

Proof of 4.33: We'll assume P is diagonalizable: $Q^{-1}PQ = D$. So $P^k = QD^kQ^{-1}$. As $k \to \infty$, D^k approaches a matrix D^* with 1's and 0's on the diagonal (by Theorem 4.31), which means that P^k approaches $L = QD^*Q^{-1}$.

Now that we know that P^k has *some* limit L, we can deduce something about it. Since $\lim_{k o\infty}P^k=L$, we have

$$PL = P \lim_{k o \infty} P^k = \lim_{k o \infty} P^{k+1} = L$$

This means that the columns of L must be steady-state vectors for P. Since the columns of P^k are probability vectors, the same must be true of the columns of L. It's not hard to show that P has a unique steady-state probability vector \vec{x} , so $L = [\vec{x} \, \vec{x} \, \cdots \, \vec{x}]$, as required. \Box

Finally, we can deduce that Markov chains tend to their steady states:

Theorem 4.34: Let P be an $n \times n$ stochastic matrix all of whose entries are positive, and let \vec{x}_0 be any initial probability vector. Then as $k \to \infty$, $\vec{x}_k \to \vec{x}$, where \vec{x} is the steady state probability vector for P.

Proof: Suppose that $ec{x}_0$ has components x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . Then

$$egin{aligned} \lim_{k o\infty}ec x_k&=\lim_{k o\infty}P^kec x_0=Lec x_0\ &=[ec xec x\cdot\cdotec x]ec x_0\ &=x_1ec x+x_2ec x+\cdots+x_nec x\ &=(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n)ec x=ec x\ &\Box \end{aligned}$$

This result works both ways: if you compute the eigenvector with eigenvalue 1, that tells you the steady-state vector that other states go to as $k \to \infty$. But it also means that if you don't know the steady-state vector, you can approximate it by starting with any vector \vec{x}_0 and computing $P^k \vec{x}_0$ for large k!

The latter is what Google does to compute the page rank eigenvector.

Note: A transition matrix P is **regular** if some power P^k of it has positive entries. This means that there is a nonzero probably to get from any starting state to any ending state after some number of steps. The text proves the above results for regular matrices, which is not hard once you know them for matrices with positive entries.

Question: Let $P=egin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/3 \ 1/2 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$. (a) Find a clever way to figure out the

eigenvalues of P and determine whether it is diagonalizable.

Solution to (a): Since P is stochastic, we know that 1 is one of its eigenvalues. That means that the characteristic polynomial factors as $(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - a)$ for some number a. Now $\det(P) = 1/3 - 1/6 = 1/6$. On the other hand, $\det(P)$ is the value of the characteristic polynomial when $\lambda = 0$, so we must have a = 1/6. Therefore the eigenvalues of P are 1 and 1/6. Since there are two distinct eigenvalues, P is diagonalizable.

(b) Compute
$$P^{10}\vec{x}_0$$
, where $\vec{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$.
One can show that eigenvectors of P are $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for $\lambda = 1$ and $1/6$ respectively. Therefore

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} P \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/6 \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$P= egin{bmatrix} 2&-1\ 3&1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 1&0\ 0&1/6 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 2&-1\ 3&1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

and therefore

$$egin{aligned} P^{10}ec{x}_0 &= egin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 1^{10} & 0 \ 0 & (1/6)^{10} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} egin{bmatrix} 1/2 \ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \ &= egin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1/6^{10} \end{bmatrix} rac{1}{5} egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 1/2 \ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \ &= rac{1}{5} egin{bmatrix} 2 + 3/6^{10} & 2 - 2/6^{10} \\ 3 - 3/6^{10} & 3 + 2/6^{10} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 1/2 \ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \ &= rac{1}{5} egin{bmatrix} 2 + 3/6^{10} & 2 - 2/6^{10} \\ 3 - 3/6^{10} & 3 + 2/6^{10} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} 1/2 \ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \ &= rac{1}{5} egin{bmatrix} 2 + rac{1}{2(6^{10})} \\ 3 - rac{1}{2(6^{10})} \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Section 5.1: Orthogonality in \mathbb{R}^n :

Chapter 5 is all about orthogonality. We'll learn about (1) orthogonal projections onto planes and other subspaces; (2) how easy it is to work with an orthogonal basis; (3) how to orthogonally diagonalize matrices; etc.

Recall that vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are **orthogonal** if $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$.

Definition: A set $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is an **orthogonal set** if all pairs of distinct vectors in the set are orthogonal, i.e. $\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Example 5.1: The following vectors form an orthogonal set:

$$ec{v}_1=egin{bmatrix}2\1\-1\end{bmatrix}, \ ec{v}_2=egin{bmatrix}0\1\1\1\end{bmatrix}, \ ec{v}_3=egin{bmatrix}1\-1\-1\1\end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 5.1: If $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then these vectors are linearly independent.

Proof:

Suppose that

$$c_1ec v_1+c_2ec v_2+\dots+c_kec v_k=ec 0$$

If we dot both sides with $ec v_1$, we get that

$$(c_1ec{v}_1 + c_2ec{v}_2 + \dots + c_kec{v}_k) \cdot ec{v}_1 = ec{0} \cdot ec{v}_1 = 0$$

But the left-hand-side is equal to

$$c_1(ec v_1\cdotec v_1)+c_2(ec v_2\cdotec v_1)+\dots+c_k(ec v_k\cdotec v_1)$$

and all terms are zero except the first. This shows that

$$c_1(ec v_1\cdotec v_1)=0$$

Since $ec{v}_1
eq 0$, we must have $c_1 = 0$. By dotting with other vectors, we see that all c_i s are zero. \Box

Definition: An **orthogonal basis** for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n is a basis that is also orthogonal.

For example, the vectors in Example 5.1 automatically form a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 . Checking orthogonality is much easier than checking linear independence!

Fact: We'll show in Section 5.3 that every subspace *has* an orthogonal basis.

It is very easy to figure out coordinates with respect to an orthogonal basis. Suppose $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis and

$$ec{w} = c_1ec{v}_1 + \dots + c_kec{v}_k$$

Then if we dot both sides with $ec{v}_1$, we find

$$ec w \cdot ec v_1 = c_1 (ec v_1 \cdot ec v_1)$$

and so

$$c_1 = rac{ec w \cdot ec v_1}{ec v_1 \cdot ec v_1}$$

Theorem 5.2: Let $\{ec{v}_1, ec{v}_2, \dots, ec{v}_k\}$ be an orthogonal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n , and let $ec{w}$ be any vector in W. Then the unique scalars c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k such that

$$ec{w}=c_1ec{v}_1+\dots+c_kec{v}_k$$

are given by the formula

$$c_i = rac{ec w \cdot ec v_i}{ec v_i \cdot ec v_i}$$

Example: Find the coordinates of $\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ with respect to the basis

$$ec{v}_1=egin{bmatrix}2\1\-1\end{bmatrix}, \ ec{v}_2=egin{bmatrix}0\1\1\1\end{bmatrix}, \ ec{v}_3=egin{bmatrix}1\-1\-1\1\end{bmatrix}$$

Solution: The coordinates are

$$c_1 = rac{ec w \cdot ec v_1}{ec v_1 \cdot ec v_1} = rac{1}{6} \,, \quad c_2 = rac{ec w \cdot ec v_2}{ec v_2 \cdot ec v_2} = rac{5}{2} \,, \quad c_3 = rac{ec w \cdot ec v_3}{ec v_3 \cdot ec v_3} = rac{2}{3}$$

So

$$ec{w} = rac{1}{6}\,ec{v}_1 + rac{5}{2}\,ec{v}_2 + rac{2}{3}\,ec{v}_3$$

Normally you have to solve a system to figure out the coordinates!

Definition: An orthonormal set is an orthogonal set of unit vectors. An **orthonormal basis** for a subspace W is a basis for W that is an orthonormal set.

The condition of being orthonormal can be expressed as

$$ec{v}_i \cdot ec{v}_j = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if} \ i
eq j \ 1 & ext{if} \ i = j \end{cases}$$

Example: The standard basis $\{\vec{e}_1, \ldots, \vec{e}_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

For an orthonormal basis, the formula for the coordinates simplifies:

Theorem 5.3: If $\{\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \dots, \vec{q}_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of a subspace W, and \vec{w} is in W, then

$$ec{w} = (ec{w} \cdot ec{q}_1)ec{q}_1 + \dots + (ec{w} \cdot ec{q}_k)ec{q}_k$$

Note that any orthogonal basis can be converted to an orthonormal basis by dividing each vector by its length. E.g.

$$\vec{q}_1 = rac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\-1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{q}_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{q}_3 = rac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^3.$

Question: How many orthonormal bases are there for \mathbb{R}^3 ?

Orthogonal Matrices

Definition: A square matrix Q with real entries whose columns form an orthonormal set is called an **orthogonal** matrix. (Strange name!)

Examples:
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$ and $C = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_1 \vec{q}_2 \vec{q}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}$.
Non-example: $D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ is not orthogonal.

Note: In \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 , orthogonal matrices correspond exactly to rotations and reflections. This is an important geometric reason to study them. Another reason is that we will see in Section 5.4 that they are related to diagonalization of symmetric matrices.

Theorems 5.4 and 5.5: Q is orthogonal if and only if $Q^T Q = I$, i.e. if and only if Q is invertible and $Q^{-1} = Q^T$.

Proof:

The ij entry of $Q^T Q$ is $\operatorname{row}_i(Q^T) \cdot \operatorname{col}_j(Q)$. That is, it equals $\operatorname{col}_i(Q) \cdot \operatorname{col}_j(Q)$. So the columns are orthonormal if and only if the ij entry of $Q^T Q$ is 1 when i = j and 0 otherwise, which means that $Q^T Q = I$.

Since Q is square, the last equation is the same as saying the Q is invertible and $Q^{-1}=Q^T.\quad \Box$

Note that Q^T is much easier to calculate than Q^{-1} !

Theorem 5.7: If Q is orthogonal, then its **rows** form an orthonormal set too.

Proof: Since $Q^T Q = I$, we must also have $Q Q^T = I$. But the last equation says exactly that the rows of Q are orthonormal. \Box

Another way to put it is that Q^T is also an orthogonal matrix. Look at the examples again.

Theorem 5.6: Let Q be an n imes n matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:

a. Q is orthogonal. b. $\|Qec{x}\| = \|ec{x}\|$ for every $ec{x}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . c. $Qec{x}\cdot Qec{y} = ec{x}\cdot ec{y}$ for every $ec{x}$ and $ec{y}$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

For example, T_B is rotation, which preserves lengths and angles.

Partial proof of 5.6:

(a) \implies (c): Suppose Q is orthogonal, so $Q^TQ=I.$ Then

$$Qec{x}\cdot Qec{y} = (Qec{x})^T(Qec{y}) = ec{x}^TQ^TQec{y} = ec{x}^Tec{y} = ec{x}\cdotec{y}$$

(c) \implies (a): Suppose (c) holds. Take $\vec{x} = \vec{e}_i$ and $\vec{y} = \vec{e}_j$. Then $Q\vec{x} = Q\vec{e}_i$ is the *i*th column of Q and $Q\vec{y} = Q\vec{e}_j$ is the *j*th column of Q. (c) says that the dot product of these columns is

$$Qec{e}_i\cdot Qec{e}_j = ec{e}_i\cdot ec{e}_j = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if}\ i
eq j \ 1 & ext{if}\ i=j \end{cases}$$

So the columns of Q are orthonomal, which means Q is orthogonal.

- (c) \implies (b) is clear, by taking $ec{x} = ec{y}$ in (c).
- (b) \implies (c): see text. \Box