

Math 1600B Lecture 18, Section 2, 14 Feb 2014

Announcements:

Continue **reading** Section 3.5. We aren't covering 3.4. Work through recommended **homework questions**.

Midterm: It is Saturday, March 1, 6:30pm-9:30pm, one week after reading week. If you have a **conflict**, you must let me know this week. It will cover the material up to and including the lecture on Monday, Feb 24.

Four **practice midterms** have been posted on the course web page.

Office hour and tutorials: None during reading week. The tutorial after reading week will be for midterm review; no quiz.

Help Centers: Monday-Friday 2:30-6:30 in MC 106, but not during reading week.

Partial review of Section 3.3, Lectures 16 and 17:

Definition: An **inverse** of an $n \times n$ matrix A is an $n \times n$ matrix A' such that

$$AA' = I \quad \text{and} \quad A'A = I.$$

If such an A' exists, we say that A is **invertible**.

Theorem 3.6: If A is an invertible matrix, then its inverse is unique.

We write A^{-1} for **the** inverse of A , when A is invertible.

Theorem 3.8: The matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible if and only if $ad - bc \neq 0$.

When this is the case,

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

We call $ad - bc$ the **determinant** of A , and write it $\det A$.

Properties of Invertible Matrices

Theorem 3.9: Assume A and B are invertible matrices of the same size. Then:

- A^{-1} is invertible and $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$
- If c is a non-zero scalar, then cA is invertible and $(cA)^{-1} = \frac{1}{c} A^{-1}$
- AB is invertible and $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ (socks and shoes rule)
- A^T is invertible and $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$
- A^n is invertible for all $n \geq 0$ and $(A^n)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^n$

Remark: There is **no formula** for $(A + B)^{-1}$. In fact, $A + B$ might not be invertible, even if A and B are.

The fundamental theorem of invertible matrices:

Very important! Will be used repeatedly, and expanded later.

Theorem 3.12: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following are equivalent:

- A is invertible.
- $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution for every $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has only the trivial (zero) solution.
- The reduced row echelon form of A is I_n .

Theorem 3.13: Let A be a square matrix. If B is a square matrix such that either $AB = I$ or $BA = I$, then A is invertible and $B = A^{-1}$.

Gauss-Jordan method for computing the inverse

Theorem 3.14: Let A be a square matrix. If a sequence of row operations reduces A to I , then the **same** sequence of row operations transforms I into A^{-1} .

This gives a general purpose method for determining whether a matrix A is invertible, and finding the inverse:

- Form the $n \times 2n$ matrix $[A \mid I]$.
- Use row operations to get it into reduced row echelon form.
- If a zero row appears in the left-hand portion, then A is not invertible.
- Otherwise, A will turn into I , and the right hand portion is A^{-1} .

True/false: If A and B are square matrices such that AB is not invertible, then at

least one of A and B is not invertible.

True/false: If A and B are matrices such that $AB = I$, then $BA = I$.

Question: Find invertible matrices A and B such that $A + B$ is not invertible.

New material: Section 3.5: Subspaces, basis, dimension and rank

This section contains some of the most important concepts of the course.

Subspaces

A generalization of lines and planes through the origin.

Definition: A **subspace** of \mathbb{R}^n is any collection S of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n such that:

1. The zero vector $\vec{0}$ is in S .
2. S is **closed under addition**: If \vec{u} and \vec{v} are in S , then $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is in S .
3. S is **closed under scalar multiplication**: If \vec{u} is in S and c is any scalar, then $c\vec{u}$ is in S .

Conditions (2) and (3) together are the same as saying that S is **closed under linear combinations**.

Example: \mathbb{R}^n is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Also, $S = \{\vec{0}\}$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Example: A plane \mathcal{P} through the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 is a subspace. [Applet](#).

Here's an algebraic argument. Suppose \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 are direction vectors for \mathcal{P} , so $\mathcal{P} = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)$.

(1) $\vec{0}$ is in \mathcal{P} , since $\vec{0} = 0\vec{v}_1 + 0\vec{v}_2$.

(2) If $\vec{u} = c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2$ and $\vec{v} = d_1\vec{v}_1 + d_2\vec{v}_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} + \vec{v} &= (c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2) + (d_1\vec{v}_1 + d_2\vec{v}_2) \\ &= (c_1 + d_1)\vec{v}_1 + (c_2 + d_2)\vec{v}_2\end{aligned}$$

which is in $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)$ as well.

(3) For any scalar c ,

$$c\vec{u} = c(c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2) = (cc_1)\vec{v}_1 + (cc_2)\vec{v}_2$$

which is also in $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)$.

On the other hand, a plane **not** through the origin is not a subspace. It of course fails (1), but the other conditions fail as well, as shown in the applet.

As another example, a line through the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 is also a subspace.

The **same** method as used above proves:

Theorem 3.19: Let $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

See text. We call $\text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k)$ the **subspace spanned by** $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$. This generalizes the idea of a line or a plane through the origin.

Example: Is the set of vectors $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ with $x = y + z$ a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 ?

Here S is the set of all vectors of the form $\begin{bmatrix} y+z \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = y \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. That is,

$S = \text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$, so it is a subspace.

Alternatively, one could check the properties:

(1) This holds with $y = z = 0$.

(2) Since $\begin{bmatrix} y_1 + z_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} y_2 + z_2 \\ y_2 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + z_1 + y_2 + z_2 \\ y_1 + y_2 \\ z_1 + z_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is of the right form, this condition holds.

(3) Since $c \begin{bmatrix} y+z \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cy+cz \\ cy \\ cz \end{bmatrix}$, this condition holds too.

This is geometrically a plane through the origin, so our previous discussion applies as well.

See Example 3.38 in the text for a similar question.

Example: Is the set of vectors $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ with $x = y + z + 1$ a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 ?

No, because it doesn't contain the zero vector. (The other properties don't hold either.)

Example: Is the set of vectors $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ with $y = \sin(x)$ a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 ?

It does contain the zero vector. Let's check condition (3): Consider a vector $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \sin(x) \end{bmatrix}$ in this set, and let c be a scalar. Then

$$c \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \sin(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cx \\ c \sin(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

and $c \sin(x)$ is not usually equal to $\sin(cx)$.

To show that this is false, we give an explicit counterexample:

$\begin{bmatrix} \pi/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is in the set, but $2 \begin{bmatrix} \pi/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \pi \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is not in the set, since $\sin(\pi) = 0 \neq 2$.

Property (2) doesn't hold either.

Subspaces associated with matrices

Theorem 3.21: Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and let N be the set of solutions of the homogeneous system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. Then N is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof: (1) Since $A\vec{0}_n = \vec{0}_m$, the zero vector $\vec{0}_n$ is in N .

(2) Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be in N , so $A\vec{u} = \vec{0}$ and $A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$. Then

$$A(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = A\vec{u} + A\vec{v} = \vec{0} + \vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

so $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is in N .

(3) If c is a scalar and \vec{u} is in N , then

$$A(c\vec{u}) = cA\vec{u} = c\vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

so $c\vec{u}$ is in N . \square

Aside: At this point, the book states **Theorem 3.22**, which says that every linear system has no solution, one solution or infinitely many solutions, and gives a proof of this. We already know this is true, using Theorem 2.2 from Section 2.2 (see Lecture 9). The proof given here is in a sense better, since it doesn't rely on knowing anything about row echelon form, but I won't use class time to cover it.

Spans and null spaces are the *two main* sources of subspaces.

Definition: Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix.

1. The **row space** of A is the subspace $\text{row}(A)$ of \mathbb{R}^n spanned by the rows of A .
2. The **column space** of A is the subspace $\text{col}(A)$ of \mathbb{R}^m spanned by the columns of A .
3. The **null space** of A is the subspace $\text{null}(A)$ of \mathbb{R}^n consisting of the solutions to the system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

Example: The column space of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ is $\text{span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}\right)$. A vector \vec{b} is a linear combination of these columns if and only if the system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a solution. But since A is invertible (its determinant is $4 - 6 = -2 \neq 0$), every such system has a (unique) solution. So $\text{col}(A) = \mathbb{R}^2$.

The row space of A is the same as the column space of A^T , so by a similar argument, this is all of \mathbb{R}^2 as well.

Example: The column space of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ is the span of the two columns, which is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 . Since the columns are linearly independent, this is a plane through the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Determine whether $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ are in $\text{col}(A)$. (On board.)

We will learn methods to describe the three subspaces associated to a matrix A .